RECEIVED MAR 18 MG/)

State of Tennessee In The Chancery Court For Hamilton County

LETEASHA YOUNG PLAINTIFF		
v.		DOCKET NO. 14-0088
VISION HOSPITALIT DEFENDANT	Y GROUP	
	SUM	MONS
TO DEFENDANT: Vision	Hospitality Group d/b/a D	oubleTree Hotel
WHOSE ADDRESS IS <u>Re</u>	gistered Agent Mitul I Patel, 20	034 Hamilton Blvd. Ste. 200, Chattanooga, TN 37421-6102.
OTHER SERVICE INFORM	ATION Plaintiff will serv	ve
thirty (30) days after se service. Your Answer Avenue, Room 300 Cour of your Answer upon the	rvice of this Summons must be filed in the OF thouse, Chattanooga, Tenplaintiff's attorney, or the vill be taken against you for day of February	S. LEE AKERS, CLERK & MASTER By: DEPUTY CLERK & MASTER
Plaintiff' Attorney or Plaintiff if no attorney (pro se) 19 Patten Parkway	#18760 BPR#	NOTICE TO DEFENDANT(S) Tennessee Code Annotated § 26-2-103 provides a \$10,000.00 personal property exemption from execution or seizure to satisfy a judgment. If a
Address		judgment should be entered against you in this action and you wish to claim property as exempt, you must
Chattanooga, TN 37402		file a written list, under oath, of the items you wish to claim as exempt with the Clerk & Master. The list may be filed at any time and may be changed by you thereafter as necessary; however unless it is filed
(423) 756-6770	(423) 756-0009	before the judgment becomes final, it will not be
Tel. NO.	Fax N0.	effective as to any execution or garnishment issued prior to the filing of the list. Certain items are automatically exempt by law and do not need to be listed; these include items of necessary wearing apparel (clothing) for yourself and your family and trunks or other receptacles necessary to contain such apparel,

family portraits, the family Bible, and school books. Should any of these items be seized you, would have the right to recover them. If you do not understand your exemption right or how to exercise it, you may

wish to seek the counsel of a lawyer.

SUMMON	S RETURN
I received this summons on(Date) Served this summons and a complaint on defendation the following manner:	I certify and return that on, (Date) (Printed Name of Defendant)
	(Frinted Name of Defendant)
failed to serve this summons within thirty (30) day	ys after its issuance because:
Process Server Name (Printed)	Process Server Signature
Addre	ess

IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

COMPLAINT			
VISION HOSPITALITY GROUP d/b/a DOUBLETREE HOTEL Defendant.	: : :	Jury Demanded	
v.	: : :	Part	
LETEASHA YOUNG Plaintiff,	:	Case No., 14-0088	

Comes Plaintiff, LeTeasha Young, by and through Counsel, and brings this action against the Defendant, Vision Hospitality Group d/b/a DoubleTree, ("Vision") and for her cause of action, alleges as follows:

Jurisdiction

- 1. Jurisdiction in the case is invoked for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 *U.S.C.* § 2000e, *et seq.* and the Equal Pay Act of 1963, 29 *U.S.C.A.* § 206 (d).
- 2. Plaintiff, is and at all times material to this action was, a citizen and resident of Hamilton County, Tennessee.
- 3. The Defendant, Vision Hospitality Group, is a Tennessee corporation registered to do business in the State of Tennessee. Defendant currently maintains hotel operations in Hamilton County, Tennessee, under the name of DoubleTree.
- **4.** The acts complained of herein occurred in Hamilton County, Tennessee, where the Plaintiff was assigned as a result of her employment.

Paty, Rymer & Ulin, P.C. Attorneys at Law 19 Patten Parkway Chattanooga TN 37402-2297

General Allegations

- 5. Plaintiff, LaTeasha Young, became employed by Defendant on or around September, 2008, as Defendant's Food and Beverage Services Director. Plaintiff was stationed at Defendant's location in downtown Chattanooga, Tennessee.
- 6. During her employment, Plaintiff performed her job duties acceptably within the gui6elines established by Defendant and generally applicable to its employees.
- 7. In December of 2011, Plaintiff was promoted to the position of Food and Beverage Director.
- 8. As the Food and Beverage Director, Plaintiff was paid less than prior males who had occupied the position as well as other similarly situated lower ranking male employees.
 - 9. On October 12, 2012, Plaintiff was terminated.
- 10. The reasons for the Plaintiff's termination were false and were insufficient to merit termination. Male employees were guilty of similar or worse conduct, but were not terminated.
 - 11. Plaintiff was replaced by a male individual.
 - 12. Defendant terminated Plaintiff based on her gender.

Plaintiff's Cause of Action

- 13. Because of its gender based discrimination, Defendant is guilty of violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 *U.S.C.* § 2000e, *et seq.*
- 14. Defendant is guilty of violations of the Equal Pay Act of 1963, 29 *U.S.C.A.* § 206 (d) for failure to pay equal wages to Plaintiff than that of similarly situated male employees.

Paty, Rymer & Ulin, P.C. Attorneys at Law 19 Patten Parkway Chattanooga TN 37402-2297

Damages

- As a result of Defendant's violations of federal law, Plaintiff has suffered loss 15. of income and loss of the benefits of employment.
- **16.** As a result of Defendant's violations of federal law, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, embarrassment and humiliation.
- 17. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorney fees and the costs of litigation.

Relief Sought Against Defendant

- 18. WHEREFORE, LaTeasha Young, demands judgment against the Defendant for compensatory damages, statutory damages plus reasonable attorney fees and all costs.
 - WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands a jury to try all issues, when joined. 19.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

PATY, RYMER & ULIN, P.C.

By:

Randall D. Larramore

B.P.R. # 18760

Attorneys for Plaintiff

19 Patten Parkway

Chattanooga, TN 37402

R-Larramore@PRandUlaw.com

(423) 756-6770